Schedule of General Scrutiny Committee recommendations made and action in response (May 2019 on)

Meeting	item	Recommend	dations	Action	Status
23 July	Gambling Policy 2019- 22 Review	RESOLVED	TO RECOMMEND TO THE EXECUTIVE THAT:		Completed
		a)	Officers review the wording in Para 1.1 of the policy to ensure it places clear emphasis on promoting compliance with the principles set out in the Act, and make clear that it is not about promoting gambling;	Done	
		b)	Officers include a glossary of terms to cover all technical and legal terms set out in the report before it goes on to cabinet and full Council;	Done	
		с)	a sentence be added to the policy document to highlight where people can be directed to apply for a license;	Done	
		d)	a new category (i) be added to the itemised list in para 15.6 to include training in child protection and child safeguarding;	(We don't recommend it's mandatory because it's not proportionate to the evidence locally but we can make licensees aware of the risks to CYP and the	

		training opportunities that are available.)	
e)	officers look at all of the suggested various changes to wording of the policy suggested in bullet point 7 above and update the policy to ensure there is clarity in the phraseology used.	The phraseology used within the Policy reflects the terms used within the Act and the use of different terminology within the policy may cause confusion).	
f)	the various references to children and young persons should – for consistency – be changed to children and young people throughout the policy document.	(the term children and young persons is the term used within the Act and again may cause confusion if different terms are used within the policy).	
g)	officers revise the wording to highlight that the gambling policy is 'reviewed' every three years and to add clarity to the reference of policy review from 'time to time' – with the additional context that this will happen when/if there are changes to legislation during the three year period;	Done	
h)	officers remove the reference to 'the governance team' in para 4.5 of the policy;	Done	

		i) officers state clearly what the deadline timeframes are in para 5.2.	Done	
		j) grounds for a review of a premises license as set out at section 18 of the policy should also include any breaches to the principles that the licensing authority, upholds in overseeing and this policy and any related enforcement action; and	Done	
		k) details on rights of appeal should be included in the policy document.	Done	
September 2019 n d h tr	Call-in of cabinet member decision on hereford transport package and south wye transport package	RESOLVED: That the decision be referred back to the cabinet member to reconsider, and in doing so: The cabinet member seeks clarification from the funders, of both the South Wye Transport Package and the Hereford Transport Package, of the funding implications of a review and ensures that both projects are not interdependent of each other;	THAT, having regard to the recommendations made by General Scrutiny Committee on 9 September 2019: (a) a review of the South Wye Transport Package be undertaken to determine next steps, and work on the Southern Link Road and active travel measures (the scope of which will be determined in a further report and be subject to the agreement of funders to draw down funding or provision being	Complete
		Ongoing planned activity, programmed in to take place	made within the capital	

during the pause, continues while the review is underway;

The cabinet member hosts a time limited series of 'open days' with parish councils, businesses and members of the public to ensure their views are taken into account on all of the evidence under consideration as part of the review; and

 That all council, and council contractors, contact databases, as far as is practicable, are kept up to date ahead of contacting members of the public programme) is continued whilst the review is undertaken;

(b) a review of the bypass project to determine next steps be undertaken, and work on the Hereford Transport

package active travel measures and the other bypass work as listed below is continued whilst the review is undertaken;

Phase 2a consultation report completion

£12,000

Geophysical survey report completion

£3,000

Ground Investigation Report (GIR) completion

£6,000

Walking and Cycling (WCHAR) assessment completion

£5,000

Traffic Modelling

£22,000

Large Local Major Bid completion

£18,000

		(c) discussions continue with funding organisations to clarify the funding implications of a review and to seek to minimise the risk of loss of any secured funding; (d) consultation with parish councils, businesses and members of the public be included within the scope of the review;
		(e) the acting director for economy and place be authorised to take all operational decisions necessary to scope the review work for both road schemes within a budget of £50k (Southern Link Road) and £70k (Hereford By-pass) to inform a further decision in this calendar year on the scope of the review to be undertaken; and
		(f) the acting director for economy and place be authorised to take all operational decisions necessary to undertake the bypass work listed in recommendation (b) above within a budget envelope of £66,000 and to approve variance between the activities within a tolerance of £5,000.
22 October 2019	RESOLVED:	(i) Noted – The LEP is working to support the local authority climate

(a) to recommend to the executive that:

- i. the LEP be encouraged to declare a climate emergency as a priority;
- ii. the LEP be encouraged to raise its profile through engagement with Parish and Town Councils and business forums;
- iii. the LEP be requested to focus on promoting available schemes to the market towns; and

(b) provision be made in the Committee's work programme for an annual report from the LEP.

emergency strategies and has allocated resource to support this.

The Marches LEP Senior Energy Officer is supporting each of the Local Authorities with the implementation of their climate emergency strategies alongside his work to develop an action plan to implement the Marches Energy Strategy.

- (ii) Noted this is a priority for 2020/21 and being led by the Chair.
- (iii) Noted. Available schemes are currently being promoted through the Marches Growth Hub https://www.marchesgrowthhub.co.uk/.

The Marches LEP is also represented on the Boards for the Towns Funding which Hereford and Telford are eligible to bid for https://assets.publishing.service.g ov.uk/government/uploads/system /uploads/attachment_data/file/843 843/20191031_Towns_Fund_pros pectus.pdf and the Historic England town fund which Leominster and Oswestry are seeking to access https://historicengland.org.uk/servi

		ces-skills/heritage-action- zones/regenerating-historic-high- streets/ • The LEP will continue to lobby government for funding for market towns in line with the agreed priorities set out in the Strategic Economic Plan 2019. (b) Included
29 November 2019 Corporate Plan Proposals	(a) In relation to the draft corporate plan: I. Specific emphasis is given to investment and commitment to high quality members of staff in delivering the corporate plan; II. the wording and presentation of the ambition for Herefordshire and the corporate plan as a whole be reviewed; and III. the corporate plan should address the needs of the county as a whole including the market towns and their environs; (b) in relation to the 2020/21 budget I. any business cases reflect current association with business partners and any	(as stated at para 63 of budget report to Cabinet 30 January 2020)

current government funding i (The detailed business cases will available; include reference to the matters II. consideration be given to a raised by the committee and will specific budget for measures form part of the decision to spend to address the climate against the investment proposal. emergency; li This is for cabinet to consider the feasibility of allocating a III. further at this meeting. ring fenced sum for highway maintenance to the market lii This is for cabinet to consider towns should be explored: further at this meeting. IV. during development of business cases consideration be given to whether greater Iv The detailed business cases community use could be will include reference to the made of educational matters raised by the committee facilities; and and will form part of the decision V. the following to spend against the investment recommendations of the proposal Adults and Wellbeing and **Children and Young People Scrutiny Committees be** considered **Adults and Wellbeing Scrutiny** Committee - 18 November 2019 Noted The committee welcomes the 1. proposed areas for investment which support prevention and the strengths The detailed business cases will based agenda. include reference to the matters raised by the committee and will

2. Acknowledging that the lack of specific details in the outline business cases was due to the timing constraints and early sight of potential projects coming forward, the committee would be pleased if attention can be given to the matters it has raised and for deeper levels of detail to be provided in the next iterations of the business cases.

form part of the decision to spend against the investment proposal

Agreed, later papers include a glossary of terms.

3. That terminology and language be used consistently, using Plain English.

Children and Young People Scrutiny
Committee – 25 November 2019

RESOLVED: That the committee:

Supports the planned investments for looked after children, edge of care and improving social care services and requests further information is submitted to the committee regarding proposals for these services; and

		Asks that a report concerning the dental health initiatives is provided to the committee setting out key performance indicators for the proposals.		
20 January	Review Of	RESOLVED: That		
2020	Budget And Corporate Plan	(a) in relation to the draft corporate plan:	(Report to cabinet 30 January 2020)	
	Proposals For 2020/21	reference be included to protecting and enhancing our local health care services with the inclusion of key performance indicators to underpin this commitment;	(para 24) The recommendations from the scrutiny committees have been incorporated into the latest draft Corporate Plan.	
		 (c) the presentation of the till receipt as at p7 of the Plan be reviewed to ensure it is an accurate representation of the actual spend; (d) the plan explains where the council is paying less than it did in the previous financial year; (e) the plan at p15 includes success measures – to consider additional focus on resources to improve infrastructure and community resilience in market towns; (f) that the committee receives a briefing note on the progress on broadband roll out; (g) the corporate plan is reworded on 		

£46m is revised to ensure it reflects the compensation paid to the tenants; and it is made clear that £46m is not enough to fund 1000 new homes and that further borrowing is being proposed of up to a further £100m;

- (h) the corporate plan is reworded on page 18 – to say 'spend more locally' and on page 19 – rather than say 'sweat our assets' the committee recommends this is changed to say 'better use of our assets; and
- (i) that the corporate plan includes a specific element on what farmers are doing to contribute to the climate change emergency.

(b) in relation to the 2020/21 budget:

- V. that the executive respond to the proposal that schools are better supported in updating their travel plans;
- V. the cabinet considers providing a specific capital allocation for market towns to be able to bid for public realm improvements;
- VI. the MTFS includes a separate line on what is being proposed for climate change;
- II. that specific resources are identified and included to support the proposed

(as stated at para 63 of budget report to Cabinet 30 January 2020)

Vi "This is added as a tracked change in appendix 1.

Vii There is a specific earmarked reserve allocated to waste, this is to be reviewed for its adequacy to fund the costs identified

The detailed business cases will include reference to the matters raised by the committee and will

group; III. greater clarity be provided on the funding sources for capital investment as set out at paragraph 23 of the report;and	form part of the decision to spend against the investment proposal This is for Cabinet to consider further at this meeting This is for Cabinet to consider further at this meeting
cases for the key areas of capital	Agreed as proposals are drafted further consultation will be completed
 That the options appraisal for public housing also consider the potential to support key workers with their accommodation needs. There is further clarification and detail provided on the proposed shared social care pooled budget between the adults and children's directorates when it is available. 	Noted

			nittee T tl ii	d Young People Scrutiny – 14 January 2020 That the committee supports the additional areas of the average of the audget.		
28 September 2020	Minerals and Waste Local Plan	RESOL' EXECU		TO RECOMMEND TO THE	Agreed. It would be useful to	
		That	(a)	a plain English and practical guidance/executive summary document be produced to support the report;	provide a non-technical summary of the MWLP, in order to reach as wide an audience as possible.	
			(b)	a more explicit definition, with links to the examples of acceptable sustainable development - within the context of this report - be included	Sustainable development has been defined in the glossary of the MWLP. In sections of the text which directly mention sustainable development, references to the glossary will be added to aid technical understanding of this phrase.	
			(c)	while it recognises that the insertion of a stronger line on preventing fracking/unconventional hydrocarbon extraction in	No changes to the MWLP are required in response to this recommendation, however, officers will work with the Cabinet Member Infrastructure and	

		(d)	Herefordshire may make the MWLP 'unsound' due to national policy guidelines - the committee wishes to voice its view and see a clearer statement from government that fracking/extraction of unconventional hydrocarbons is unacceptable in Herefordshire; and the current draft MWLP be endorsed to go forward to public consultation.	Transport to lobby Government for a stronger statement on fracking to be issued.	
28 September 2020	Task and finish group report - waste management strategic review	RESOLVED: That (a)	the Task and Finish Group report and all of its recommendations be approved, subject to including:	Decision by cabinet member – commissioning, procurement and assets 26 October 2020 http://councillors.herefordshire.go y.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?ID=7 273	
			that, as part of the consultation process, there is clear explanation given as to why option	(a) accept the recommendations around consulting on options 2 & 3 from	

one is n	ot bein	g put
forward	as an c	ption;

- the Waste Team continue to work with and lead the communications on each of the schemes to ensure public understanding for the preferred options is secured;
- 3. asking that the reuse of waste is brought forward as quickly as possible at our local household recycling centres; and
- 4. that the Task and Finish group report is shared with Defra; and
- (b) the findings and recommendations be submitted to the executive for consideration.

General Scrutiny Committee held on 28 September 2020

- (b) consent be given for expenditure up to the value of £75,000 is allocated from the council's waste revenue reserves to the Economy & Place Directorate's revenue budget for 2020/21 to award a tender and include expenditure required for resources to allow the council to carry out an effective and engaging consultation on the future of its Waste Management Service;
- (c) a further report is brought back to the cabinet member for procurement and assets outlining the resources required to deliver the waste services management review;
- (d) a further report is brought back to cabinet in Spring 2021 with a recommendation on future collection services and outlining future resources required to

		implement the recommendation; and (e) Subject to the provisions of the Financial Procedure Rules, the Assistant Director for Regulatory, Environment and Waste be authorised to take all operational decisions required to implement the above recommendations.
9 November 2020 Herefor Transport Strategy Review	EXECUTIVE: That (a) more detailed studies a	December) Whilst the removal of traffic efits signals along the A49 was looked at and discarded by the review

(b) as a result of this review, the committee recommends that the cabinet consider 'weighting of the preferred outcomes' to help determine the preferred package to take forward:

The review has specifically chosen not to weight the 4 objectives or 16 outcomes which underpin these objectives. This provides a comparative view of performance for all of the six packages. Cabinet may choose to assign its own strategic priorities in respect of objectives and outcomes and reference these priorities in determining its preferred package.

If cabinet wished for weightings to be applied to the package assessments it could ask for this as a further step to the review. Cabinet would need to confirm its preferred weightings or instruct that alternative weightings are assessed. If cabinet wished to progress this work it would need to defer its decision on the preferred packages and this would extend the review.

(c) support is given to promoting more 'park and choose' options in combination with more investment into public

The recommendation is noted. Package A includes investment in park and choose options (identified as mobility hubs at page 51 of the review) and cycle

transport options and cycle routes to reduce demand for car journeys into or through the city centre with a particular focus given to the limited transport options currently experienced by Herefordshire's rural communities and that the executive set up a transport team as a matter of priority to implement the planning of cycling and walking, and that the road schemes are reconfigured to accommodate walking and cycling safely within the city;

routes (Page 45) and Package A+B includes significant investment in public transport options within the Hereford's urban area and urban fringe (options set out at pages 45, 46, 47 and 49 of the review) and these will support longer distance travel needs. Cabinet will be able to select this combination of package options noting the support indicated by committee.

Whilst this review has focused on transport issues in Hereford in line with the scope set out in the cabinet member decision of January 2020 it is appreciated that it would be helpful to review

transport challenges and solutions countywide. The cabinet report sets out the original intention to review the Local Transport Plan within 5 years of its adoption which would be by 2021. It is also important to note that the core strategy update is due to comment in the next few months. As such, the intention would be to undertake a wider review of transport strategy for the whole county over the coming year.

Cabinet may ask officers to consider the approach to designing and delivering transport schemes and report back on options and funding implications for different approaches. Whilst this proposal was not considered in the review or the cabinet follow up on the suggested by members or suggestion for a 'River-Bus stakeholders during the Service' in ongoing engagement stages of the review refinement and review of the cabinet could include this option in Hereford transport package its preferred package combination options; and instruct that this is considered further in the context of package development work. The cost of undertaking this work would need to be confirmed and set out in a subsequent report to the cabinet member Whilst this review has focused on transport issues in Hereford in line (e) consideration is given to a with the scope set out in the wider, more in depth study, cabinet member decision of on the transport options that January 2020 it is appreciated address countywide that it would be helpful to review transport challenges and transport challenges and solutions countywide. The cabinet report sets out the original intention to

solutions, not just in Hereford review the Local Transport Plan within 5 years of its adoption City; which would be by 2021. It is also important to note that the core strategy update is due to comment in the next few months. As such, the intention would be to undertake a wider review of transport strategy for the whole county over the coming year. cabinet should not feel The recommendation to cabinet constrained by having to sets out that cabinet may consider consider just the package of the packages as assessed in the options that has been review or combination of package presented to them as part of options. this review. the committee considers that Cabinet may choose to agree a package which includes an further analysis is undertaken eastern river crossing noting that to assess further the 2 eastern river crossing options mitigation measures of traffic have been assessed within the 6 utilising an eastern crossing before the dis-benefits of an packages. If cabinet considers that further technical work is eastern crossing rule it out as required to understand the an option. impacts and potential mitigations of these elements (or any other transport elements) it could ask officers ask officers to consider and set out the resource

requirements for any additional technical work. Whilst an assessment of the school travel and transport is barriers to uptake of different given greater priority and that modes of travel to school (other more work is done to than by car) was not part of this undertake survey work with review and the review did not schools and parents to gain a undertake fresh and better understanding to what comprehensive surveys of travel the barriers to uptake of to school for county schools. This school transport are; is additional work which the cabinet could ask officers to consider and set out the resource requirements to progress. Carbon offsetting is being carbon offsetting is looked at considered in the work being in relation to offsetting on undertaken on the carbon major infrastructure projects. management plan - Pathway to Carbon Neutral. The Plan indicates that offsetting is likely to follow in the 5 years following the current management plan and between 2025 and 2030. the impact of assessing Cabinet is invited to consider routes over other river whether or not it wishes to instruct crossings, in particular, the that further work is done to Bridge Sollars crossing, is understand wider traffic built into the analysis of movements through the county options and packages under and outside of the Hereford review. transport network in the context

further development of a preferred package. This is additional work which the cabinet could ask officers to consider and set out the resource requirements to progress. The recommendations for cabinet that the executive abandon include the option to stop work on the Western Bypass and the western bypass and southern reject other major road link road and the western bypass infrastructure schemes, as also include in package barring only the eastern river A+C+D. Two of the package crossing option; options include eastern river crossings and cabinet may determine to progress either of these options. Any decision which would result in a significant change to current adopted policy and strategy may require the need for consideration by full council. If cabinet wished to look again at the executive take a look the robustness of the qualitative again at the robustness of the assessment this would extend the qualitative assessment of the review and would require it to evidence presented; and defer any decision. Cabinet have been briefed on the review at various stages of its development and also requested

the engagement of a critical friend in the form of an independent transport consultant to review the draft report and inform final reporting. The critical friend has confirmed that in their view the details of the assessment have been done at a level appropriate to the stage of work, noting that further detailed work would be required. The review includes both qualitative assessment and assessment which is based on modelled outputs. The qualitative assessment was undertaken by transport planners with experience of strategy development and scheme delivery across a range of transport interventions and work was subject to discussion and challenge with council officers, stakeholders and members through the engagement sessions.

(m) Herefordshire Council should immediately implement a well-designed comprehensive safe and attractive network of active travel measures across the entire county to reduce

This aligns with policy and the types of measures identified in Package A. A number of active travel measures are being progressed which will include improvements for pedestrians, cyclists, bus and rail users and we

the effect of climate	
and the risk of surfa	
flooding.	behavioural change programme
	countywide. Clearly, more of
	these measures could be
	introduced more quickly if
	additional funding is available and
	it is anticipated that there will be
	further opportunities to bid for
	external funds over the coming
	months as government provides
	more details following its
	publication of 'Decarbonising
	Transport' and 'Gear Change'
	earlier in 2020.
	earlier in 2020.

7 December 2020 Marche Local Enterpr Partner Update	LEP including current priorities and projects be noted; (b) the executive be recommended that: (1) the LEP be asked to bear in mind the importance of having regard to the needs of the market towns and the rural areas when considering allocating funding for projects;	The important economic role of the market towns is recognised in the Marches LEP Strategic Economic Plan and the draft Local Industrial Strategy. The LEP also recognises the significant impact of Covid 19 has had on town centres, particularly retail and hospitality. At this time it is not clear what future government funding will be made available via the LEPs, in terms of the amount of funding or the related criteria. However, wherever possible, in meeting the priorities of the Strategic Economic Plan, the draft Local Industrial Strategy and in supporting the recovery of Covid 19, the LEP will ensure that the needs of the market towns are fully considered in future calls for project applications.
	(2) the LEP be encouraged in its stated intention to revisit its Strategic Economic	It is welcomed that the Marches LEP will be revisiting the SEP and other policies to review in the
	Plan and other policies to ensure that they	other policies to review in the

are sustainable and resilient having regard, context of the climate emergency. The Marches LEP will confirm the for example, to the impact of transport schemes in the context of the declared timetable for these reviews but it climate emergency; will be dependent on the government making resources available in 2020/21 to enable the LEP to do this work in consultation with partners. (3) the LEP be asked to consider how it The Marches LEP recognises the can improve its engagement with creative important contribution creative industries: industries make to the local economy. The LEP are currently considering how it can improve (with the respective local authorities) sector based engagement in each area. The LEP will ensure that engagement with the creative industries sector is fully considered and improved through this process. In accordance with the Articles of (4) the LEP be asked to consider Association, with the exception of appointing a creative industries champion the Local Authority representation to the LEP Board: on the LEP Board (which is subject to the local election

> process), all of the remaining Board positions are openly recruited. Therefore, the LEP don't currently have a means to

directly appoint a representative for a specific sector or geography, but do seek to ensure the Board is representative through the recruitment and selection process. (5) the LEP Board be recommended to As above, in accordance with the appoint a rural and market Towns Articles of Association, with the Champion; exception of the Local Authority representation on the LEP Board (which is subject to the local election process), all of the remaining Board positions are openly recruited. Therefore, the LEP don't currently have a means to directly appoint a representative for a specific sector or geography, but do seek to ensure the Board is representative through the recruitment and selection process. As a predominately rural LEP area, a number of the existing Board members either have business interests in and/or themselves live in a rural area or market town, representing these needs. (6) the LEP be asked to bid for funding to The LEP are a member of the address the impacts of flooding, noting River Severn Partnership

that these are of a significance comparable to the impacts of Covid 19 for which the Government has provided funding;

alongside the local authorities, seeking to lobby government for funding to support improved infrastructure to reduce flooding and enable the growth of the local economy. Should the government provide an opportunity for the LEPs to seek funding for flood prevention, the LEP would commit to doing so. At this time, it is not yet clear what future government funding will be made available via the LEP network.

(c) the Executive considers how it can facilitate better engagement between the Herefordshire Cultural Partnership and the LEP;

The LEP are not currently members of the Herefordshire Cultural Partnership, but are represented on a number of cross cutting Herefordshire partnerships such as the Herefordshire Business Board (where the cultural partnership is represented) and the Hereford Towns Board. Herefordshire council are represented on the Cultural Partnership, and can ensure enhanced engagement and improved co-ordination with the LEP.

(d) the Executive work with the LEP to make representations for there to be a small towns LEP group along the lines of the national rural LEP Group;

The council and the LEP will work in partnership to encourage government and the LEP network to consider establishing a small towns LEP group. It is noted that over the last 12 months government has launched a number of council led initiatives to support towns, such as Stronger Towns Funding (Hereford and Telford currently selected), Future High Street Funding, and Heritage Action Zone (Leominster selected).

(e) the Executive ensures that it has a number of approved prioritised projects available for submission to the LEP in order to take advantage of funding opportunities when they arise; and The council will ensure that a pipeline of high quality, worked up shovel ready projects are established in order to apply for funding opportunities. The council is currently working with the Hereford Towns Board to develop a Town Investment Plan, and is leading the development of Economic Development Investment Plans for each of the five market towns. These plans will identify the strategic needs/opportunities for these areas and develop project proposals in

			anticipation of future government funding. A similar investment plan for the wider rural areas is also planned, identified as an action in the County Plan Delivery Plan 2020 to 2022.
		(f) the Committee establishes a task and finish group to review the processes in place to deliver projects, ensuring that the reasons that have led to delays in the past on council managed projects have been addressed and that the current processes are fit for purpose; and	For consideration in the work before.
		(g) the LEP be asked to report to the Committee on the level of inward investment achieved.	The LEP and the Local Authorities work with the Department for International Trade to engage foreign owned businesses and support investment. The LEP and Herefordshire Council will work together to better monitor and report against inward investment into the county.
7 December 2020	Work Programme	(d) the executive be requested to provide a fuller explanation of its response to the Committee's recommendation (b) in its consideration of the Hereford Transport Package review on 9 November that the cabinet consider 'weighting of the	In considering the General Scrutiny Committee's recommendation (b) of its meeting of 9 November 2020 the cabinet member confirmed at the cabinet meeting of 3 December 2020 that it was not proposed to delay

preferred outcomes' to help determine the	making a determination on the
preferred package to take forward'.	preferred strategy to introduce a
	system to weight preferred
	outcomes. The cabinet member
	confirmed that cabinet was able to
	consider its preferred strategic
	packages in the context of the
	information provided by the review
	and its priorities. The priorities for
	the review were clear and the
	detailed information and analysis
	set out in the review documents
	were considered sufficient to
	inform the decision taken by
	cabinet.